UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/581,869	06/05/2006	Thomas Nietsch	BRV-40600	3934
PEARNE & GO	7590 08/05/200 ORDON LLP	9	EXAMINER	
1801 EAST 9T	-	MARKS, JACOB B		
SUITE 1200 CLEVELAND, OH 44114-3108			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1795	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/05/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	10/581,869 NIETSCH ET AL.		
interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	JACOB MARKS	1795	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):		
(1) <u>JACOB MARKS</u> .	(3) <u>BRIAN SINES</u> .		
(2) <u>AARON FISHMAN</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 29 June 2009.			
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2	2) <mark> applicant's representative</mark>	e]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.		
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1</u> .			
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>JP 2000-067885</u> .			
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g	ı)∏ was not reached. h)⊠ N	I/A.	
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: Discussed proposed claim discussed how claim 1 might overcome prior art of record in the discussed how claim 1 might overcome prior art of record in the followable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no contain allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. The FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ANTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE S	m amendment to claim 1 and programment in the examiner agony of the amendments that wild.) ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE Plast Office action has already OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY ERVIEW SUMMARY FORM,	proposed new classification of the prior art search reed would render the could render the substance of the prior art search filed, APP of DAYS FROM TWHICHEVER IS	er the claims claims OF THE LICANT IS CHIS LATER, TO
Jacob Marks/	/Brian J. Sines/		

Application No.

Applicant(s)

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1795